#### Kellett Elementary

500 Adams Street Seneca, SC 29678

Grades PK-5 Elementary School

Enrollment 371 Students

Principal Earnestine R. Williams 864–885–5006

**Superintendent** Dr. Valerie Truesdale 864–886–4400

**Board Chair** Harry B. Mays, Jr. 864–972–3629

### THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

## <del>2006</del>

# ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

#### ABSOLUTE RATING

#### AVERAGE

Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

0 9 63 42 3

#### IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

#### **ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS**

YES

This school met 17 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

#### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD								
	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress					
2003	Good	Average	No					
2004	Good	Unsatisfactory	Yes					
2005	Average	Unsatisfactory	Yes					
2006	Average	Unsatisfactory	Yes					

#### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

#### PERCENT OF STUDENT PACT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2005-06 whose 2004-05 test scores were located.

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

98.2%

## English/Language Arts **Mathematics** Science **Social Studies Our School Elementary Schools with Students like Ours**

# **Definition of Critical Terms**

#### Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations Advanced **Proficient** Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level **Below Basic** Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP									
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Objective Mes
	•	ge Arts -							
All Students	178	100.0	18.5	48.8	30.2	2.5	41.4	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	87	100.0	24.4	52.4	22.0	1.2	30.5	N/A	N/A
Female	91	100.0	12.5	45.0	38.8	3.8	52.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	76	100.0	7.4	44.1	42.6	5.9	54.4	Yes	Yes
African American	90	100.0	27.6	52.9	19.5	0.0	29.9	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	10	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	2	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	160	100.0	18.2	47.3	31.8	2.7	43.2	N/A	N/A
Disabled	18	100.0	21.4	64.3	14.3	0.0	21.4	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	178	100.0	18.5	48.8	30.2	2.5	41.4	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	3	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	175	100.0	18.0	49.1	30.4	2.5	41.6	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	132	100.0	21.4	54.7	23.9	0.0	34.2	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	46	100.0	11.1	33.3	46.7	8.9	60.0	N/A	N/A

N	Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 36.7%								
All Students	178	100.0	22.2	48.1	21.6	8.0	48.8	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	87	100.0	18.3	56.1	15.9	9.8	46.3	N/A	N/A
Female	91	100.0	26.3	40.0	27.5	6.3	51.3	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	76	100.0	13.2	42.6	30.9	13.2	64.7	Yes	Yes
African American	90	100.0	29.9	51.7	14.9	3.4	35.6	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	10	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	2	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	160	100.0	20.3	47.3	23.6	8.8	52.0	N/A	N/A
Disabled	18	100.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	0.0	14.3	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	178	100.0	22.2	48.1	21.6	8.0	48.8	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	3	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	175	100.0	21.7	48.4	21.7	8.1	49.1	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	132	100.0	26.5	50.4	18.8	4.3	41.9	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	46	100.0	11.1	42.2	28.9	17.8	66.7	N/A	N/A

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRO	PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP								
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	,	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced		
All Students	470		ience	25.0	440	0.0	21.0		
Gender	178	100.0	43.8	35.2	14.2	6.8	21.0		
Male	87	100.0	47.6	28.0	19.5	4.9	24.4		
Female	91	100.0	40.0	42.5	8.8	4.9 8.8	17.5		
Racial/Ethnic Group	31	100.0	40.0	42.3	0.0	0.0	17.5		
White	76	100.0	25.0	42.6	20.6	11.8	32.4		
African American	90	100.0	59.8	28.7	8.0	3.4	11.5		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	10	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
American Indian/Alaskan	2	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
Disability Status	_		., .	., -	., .	., .	,, ,		
Not Disabled	160	100.0	40.5	37.8	14.9	6.8	21.6		
Disabled	18	100.0	78.6	7.1	7.1	7.1	14.3		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Migrant	178	100.0	43.8	35.2	14.2	6.8	21.0		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	3	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
Non-Limited English Proficient	175	100.0	43.5	35.4	14.3	6.8	21.1		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	132	100.0	49.6	35.9	11.1	3.4	14.5		
Full-pay meals	46	100.0	28.9	33.3	22.2	15.6	37.8		

Social Studies								
All Students	178	100.0	36.4	37.7	20.4	5.6	25.9	
Gender								
Male	87	100.0	36.6	37.8	20.7	4.9	25.6	
Female	91	100.0	36.3	37.5	20.0	6.3	26.3	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	76	100.0	25.0	41.2	25.0	8.8	33.8	
African American	90	100.0	44.8	34.5	17.2	3.4	20.7	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	10	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
American Indian/Alaskan	2	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
Disability Status								
Not Disabled	160	100.0	35.8	37.2	21.6	5.4	27.0	
Disabled	18	100.0	42.9	42.9	7.1	7.1	14.3	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Migrant	178	100.0	36.4	37.7	20.4	5.6	25.9	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	3	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
Non-Limited English Proficient	175	100.0	36.0	37.9	20.5	5.6	26.1	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	132	100.0	42.7	39.3	15.4	2.6	17.9	
Full-pay meals	46	100.0	20.0	33.3	33.3	13.3	46.7	

	DEDECORM	ANCE BY GRA	DE I EVEL					10/30/00 370101
AOTI	I OKW						_	
/	Grade	Enrollment 1≈ Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced
/_		1 4 0		/ %	1	<i></i>	/ %	/ % ]
				English/Lar	nguage Arts			
	3	64	100.0	12.1	44.8	41.4	1.7	43.1
LG L	4	60	100.0	13.0	53.7	29.6	3.7	33.3
	5	56	100.0	20.0	54.0	26.0	0.0	26.0
7(	6 7	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
-	8	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
-								
	3 4	56	100.0	15.1	35.8	45.3 25.9	3.8	49.1 29.6
9	5	60 62	100.0 100.0	16.7 23.6	53.7 56.4	20.0	3.7 0.0	20.0
6	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
~~	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
-	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
					matics			
	3	64	100.0	22.4	62.1	10.3	5.2	15.5
LC)	4	60	100.0	24.1	48.1	22.2	5.6	27.8
0	5	56	100.0	42.0	40.0	10.0	8.0	18.0
-2L	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	56	100.0	15.1	64.2	20.8	0.0	20.8
9	4	60	100.0	22.2	37.0	25.9	14.8	40.7
	5	62	100.0	29.1	43.6	18.2	9.1	27.3
7	6	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A
-	7 8	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
_	0	IN/A	IN/A		ence	IN/A	IN/A	N/A
	3	64	100.0	36.2	48.3	13.8	1.7	15.5
	4	60	100.0	44.4	42.6	11.1	1.7	13.0
18	5	56	100.0	62.0	20.0	6.0	12.0	18.0
i Ö	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	56	100.0	37.7	37.7	18.9	5.7	24.5
.0	4	60	100.0	40.7	29.6	18.5	11.1	29.6
l e	5	62	100.0	52.7	38.2	5.5	3.6	9.1
-2L	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
					Studies			
_	3	64	100.0	22.4	56.9	12.1	8.6	20.7
- LO	4 5	60 56	100.0 100.0	25.9 38.0	63.0 44.0	9.3 14.0	1.9 4.0	11.1 18.0
18	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
-	3	56	100.0	15.1	47.2	28.3	9.4	37.7
	4	60	100.0	33.3	44.4	16.7	5.6	22.2
90	5	62	100.0	60.0	21.8	16.4	1.8	18.2
9	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
67	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 371)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	Up from 24.1%	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	4.1%	Up from 3.3%	3.6%	2.8%
Attendance rate Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	96.6% 0.0%	Up from 96.2% Down from 5.7%	96.2% 0.0%	96.4% 0.0%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	0.0%	Down from 4.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	13.2%	Up from 10.7%	8.1%	10.4%
On academic plans	37.9%	N/AV	40.7%	33.6%
On academic probation	0.0%	N/AV	0.5%	1.0%
With disabilities other than speech	4.6%	Down from 5.0%	9.1%	7.5%
Older than usual for grade	0.6%	Up from 0.3%	1.2%	0.8%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.3%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 29)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	44.8% N/AV	Down from 50.0%	52.6% N/AV	53.8% N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	4.6%	N/A	2.2%	2.4%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	0.0%	Down from 3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	82.2%	Down from 89.2%	86.9%	87.3%
Teacher attendance rate	96.8%	Up from 93.8%	95.1%	94.9%
Average teacher salary	\$42,263	Up 0.7%	\$42,070	\$42,485
Prof. development days/teacher	13.9 days	Up from 9.0 days	13.3 days	13.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	2.0 20.2 to 1	Up from 1.0 Up from 17.7 to 1	3.0 18.2 to 1	4.0
•		- F	89.7%	18.6 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	91.1% \$7,276	Up from 87.9% Up 7.2%	\$6,652	89.7% \$6,557
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	59.6%	Down from 65.0%	64.0%	64.0%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	63.5%		69.0%	69.1%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	No change	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development	Excellent	No change	Excellent	Excellent
* Drior year audited financial data are reported				

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District		State	
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teacher	ers	7.8%		6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teach	N/A		10.2%	
	Sta	e Objective	Ме	t State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school		0.0%		No
Student attendance in this school		94.0%*		Yes

\*or greater than last year

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

J.N. Kellett has implemented many effective practices and programs designed to improve student achievement. During the 2005-06 school year, we accomplished many goals. We received exemplary SACS accreditation status and were recognized as a Red Carpet School Winner. We completed phase II of becoming a technology model school. Our school was showcased recently in an award-winning technology video. All classrooms have state-of-the-art technology that includes smart boards and cps chalkboards. Teachers received more training with infusing technology in the curriculum through our Digital Express grant with Clemson University. Students used technology to prepare projects, make power point presentations and conduct research.

We also implemented the 100 Book Challenge to encourage daily reading at school and at home. This program provided a unified system for independent reading. The 100 Book Challenge also provided an early intervention for struggling readers in all grades. Students were assessed by using individual skill cards as teachers held mini-conferences with the students. Students were awarded for meeting reading goals by completing book challenge steps. Students read fiction and non-fiction literature.

The Math Coach worked closely with all teachers to help teachers become more reflective in their teaching. Through weekly reflection with teachers conversations have focused on the connections students build to support their learning. Our MAP scores showed growth throughout the school year as teachers implemented best practice teaching strategies and used current MAP data to teach deficient areas and fill in gaps for students.

Kellett Academy, our after-school program, served over 150 students in grades Kindergarten - 5th. Our goal is to implement a quality after-school and summer program that will help each student at Kellett Elementary reach his or her maximum potential. Kellett Academy provides one hour of classroom instruction daily in reading and math. Instruction is provided by certified staff. We also provide after-school tutoring, mentoring and homework assistance.

Our Family Intervention Specialist worked closely with teachers and parents to bridge home-school connections. Training was provided for our parents at monthly family night events on how parents can be the primary teachers for their children. Family night events served as an initiative to strengthen capacities of families in our school and community.

Earnestine R. Williams, Principal Sharon Fletcher, SIC Chair

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	30	58	4
Percent satisfied with learning environment	93.3%	77.6%	I/S
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	90.0%	70.7%	I/S
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	55.2%	83.6%	I/S

<sup>\*</sup>Only students at the highest elementary school grade level at this school and their parents were included.